

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassackin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opub.com

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,850	10/30/2003	Eric H. Baker	1100P548US2	9757
7507 1169/2009 RACUNAS LAW LLC C/O INTELLEVATE P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			ZIEGLE, STEPHANIE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3684	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/09/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

rvr@racunaslaw.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/697.850 BAKER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit STEPHANIE ZIEGLE 3684 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 October 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2009 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

| Attachment(s) | Attachment(s

Art Unit: 3684

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 19 October 2009 has been entered.

Status of Claims

- 2. This action is in reply to the RCE filed on 19 October 2009.
- Claims 1-13, and 21 have been amended.
- 4. Claims 1-35 are currently pending and have been examined.
- 5. The objections to the drawings are hereby withdrawn.
- The 112, 2nd rejections for claims 1 and 13 are hereby withdrawn. A new 112 1st rejection and a new 112 2nd rejection are entered for claims 1-20.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3684

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and

process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any

person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make

and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out

his invention.

9. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written

description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the

specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the

inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The

phrase "choose to receive all of the remaining proceeds of the sale" is new matter. No where in the

specification is it stated that the user can choose to receive all of the proceeds from the sale.

10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

11. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to

particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

invention. The phrase "choose to receive all of the remaining proceeds of the sale" is vague and

indefinite. It is unclear why the user would choose to donate none of the proceeds to the third party,

thereby raising a question over the intended metes and bounds implied by this limitation.

Art Unit: 3684

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action;

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as

set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be

patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious

at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said

subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention

was made.

13. The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966).

that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

are summarized as follows:

Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or

nonohviousness

14. Claims 1-7, 9-11, and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the

WebCharity.com Webpage, hereinafter Webcharity in view of Official Notice.

Claim 1:

Webcharity, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

An online marketplace system for providing logistics for a sale of one or more goods.

the online marketplace system being adapted to receive information a seller and a

buyer for serving as an intermediary between the seller and the buyer, to present a

Art Unit: 3684

seller interface for receiving information from the seller comprising a seller identity and a description of the one or more goods, to present a listing of the one or more goods on behalf of the seller based on the information received from the seller, to present the buyer with a <u>buyer interface comprising</u> the listing that includes the description of the one or more goods while maintaining the seller identity confidential from the buyer, and to provide financial logistics and shipping logistics for completing the sale of the <u>one or more goods</u>, wherein: [See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

- the seller interface presents available options for directing the remaining proceeds of the sale and receives the option selected by the seller from the available options prior to presenting the listing to the buyer, the available options allowing the seller to choose to receive all of the remaining proceeds of the sale or to select to donate at least a portion of remaining proceeds of the sale to a third party designated by the seller; and [See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]
- o the financial logistics include collecting proceeds for the sale of the one or more goods from a financial service provider designated by the buyer, deducting a fee for use of the system from the proceeds collected for the sale, and transferring remaining proceeds of the sale according to an option selected by the seller without requiring interaction between the buyer and seller. [See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Webcharity also discloses the seller choosing the percentage of the proceeds to be given to the particular charity on at least the page entitled How Webcharity Item Donations work in step 2. While Webcharity is directed mainly to donating a portion of the proceeds of a sale to charity, it does not explicitly say that that the percentage of the proceeds that the seller enters can not be zero. Therefore, Webcharity allows the option of entering zero percent to the user. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the auction arts for the seller to maintain all of the proceeds in an auction. It would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 3684

skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the auction and donation of

Webcharity with the zero donation because allows for a reminder to the seller that they have

the option of backing out of the donation and keeping all of the proceeds for themselves.

Claim 2:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 1. Webcharity also discloses the following:

o wherein the third party comprises a charitable or nonprofit entity. [See at least the

page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Claim 3:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 1. With regard to the limitation of wherein the third party comprises a political action

committee., Webcharity discloses the third party being a charity (See at least the page

entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]).

Webcharity does not expressly show:

o wherein the third party comprises a political action

However these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are

not functionally involved in the steps recited. The steps would be performed the same

regardless of the type of third party. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the

claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381,

1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031

(Fed. Cir. 1994). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art

at the time the invention was made for the third party to be any type of entity because the

type of entity does not functionally relate to the steps in the method claimed and because the

subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3684

Claim 4:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 1. Webcharity also discloses the following:

o wherein the third party comprises a fundraising entity. [See at least the page entitled

How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Claim 5:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 2. Webcharity also discloses the following:

o wherein said financial logistics comprises providing said entity with information

regarding the seller sufficient to allow the entity to generate an acknowledgement for

tax reporting purposes. [See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item

Donation Workl

Claim 6:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 1. Webcharity also discloses the following:

o wherein the system is adapted to receive the information over a computer network.

[See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Claim 7:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 6. Webcharity also discloses the following:

o wherein the financial logistics comprises conducting an auction over the computer

network. [See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Art Unit: 3684

Claim 9:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 1. Webcharity also discloses the following:

o wherein the system is adapted to provide the shipping logistics by use of at least one

geography-based and time-based strategy. [See at least the page entitled How

WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Claim 10:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations ${\sf S}$

of claim 9. Webcharity also discloses the following:

o wherein the goods are time-sensitive. [See at least the page entitled Buying: All

Categories: Tickets]

Claim 11:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 10 Webcharity also discloses the following:

o wherein the goods are event tickets. [See at least the page entitled Buying: All

Categories: Tickets]

Claim 13:

Webcharity, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

receiving information at an intermediary computer system from a seller and a buyer

for providing an online marketplace for conducting the sale of the one or more goods without requiring interaction between the seller and the buyer, wherein the

intermediary system is adapted to collect proceeds of the sale of the one or more

Art Unit: 3684

goods from a financial service provider designated by the buyer, deduct a fee for the use of the online marketplace from the proceeds of the sale and transfer the remaining proceeds of the sale according to an option selected by the user [See at

least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

o presenting a seller interface to a computer of the seller, the seller interface for providing a the seller with available options for directing the remaining proceeds of the sale and for receiving the option selected by the seller from the available options

prior to listing the one or more goods for sale the buyer, the available options

allowing the seller to choose to receive all of the remaining proceeds of the sale or to

select to donate at least a portion of the remaining proceeds of the sale to a third

party designated by the seller. [See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item

Donation Workl

o receiving information from the seller via the seller interface, the information

including a description of the one or more goods, a method of sale for the one or

more goods, an option selected by the seller to donate at least a portion of the remaining proceeds of the sale and an identity of a third party designated by the

seller to receive at least a portion of the remaining proceeds of the sale; [See at least

the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

 $\circ\ \$ presenting a listing of the one or more goods on behalf of the seller based on the

information received from the seller while maintaining seller identity confidential from

the buyer: [See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

o presenting a buyer interface to a computer of the buyer, the buyer interface

comprising the listing including the description of the one or more goods; [See at

least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Art Unit: 3684

 conducting the sale of the one or more goods over a computer network according to the method of sale; [See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation

Work]

o providing financial logistics, transferring at least a portion of the remaining proceeds

of the sale for donation on behalf of the seller to the third party designated by the seller; and [See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

 providing shipping logistics, including arranging for transfer of the one or more goods to the buyer. [See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Webcharity also discloses the seller choosing the percentage of the proceeds to be given to

the particular charity on at least the page entitled How Webcharity Item Donations work in

step 2. While Webcharity is directed mainly to donating a portion of the proceeds of a sale to

charity, it does not explicitly say that that the percentage of the proceeds that the seller enters

can not be zero. Therefore, Webcharity allows the option of entering zero percent to the user.

However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the auction arts

for the seller to maintain all of the proceeds in an auction. It would have been obvious to one

skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the auction and donation of

Webcharity with the zero donation because allows for a reminder to the seller that they have

the option of backing out of the donation and keeping all of the proceeds for themselves.

Claim 14:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 13. Webcharity also discloses the following:

 \circ wherein the goods are event tickets. [See at least the page entitled Buying: All

Categories: Tickets]

Art Unit: 3684

Claim 15:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 14. Webcharity also discloses the following:

o wherein the third party comprises a charitable or nonprofit entity. [See at least the

page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Claim 16:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 13. With regard to the limitation of wherein the third party comprises a political action

committee., Webcharity discloses the third party being a charity (See at least the page

entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]).

Webcharity does not expressly show:

o wherein the third party comprises a political action

However these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are

not functionally involved in the steps recited. The steps would be performed the same

regardless of the type of third party. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the

claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381,

1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031

(Fed. Cir. 1994). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art

at the time the invention was made for the third party to be any type of entity because the

type of entity does not functionally relate to the steps in the method claimed and because the

subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3684

Claim 17:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 14. Webcharity also discloses the following:

o wherein the third party is a fundraising entity. [See at least the page entitled How

WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Claim 18:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 15. Webcharity also discloses the following:

o providing the charitable or nonprofit entity with information regarding the seller

sufficient to allow the entity to generate an acknowledgement for tax reporting

purposes. [See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Claim 19:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 15. Webcharity also discloses the following:

o causing an acknowledgement for tax reporting purposes to be provided to the seller.

[See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Claim 20:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 14. Webcharity also discloses the following:

wherein said system is adapted to provide said shipping logistics by use of at least

one geography-based and time-based strategy. [See at least the page entitled How

WebCharity Item Donation Workl

Art Unit: 3684

15. Claims 8, 12, 21-28, and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Webcharity in view of Official Notice in view of Salls (US 2002/0152130).

Claim 8:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 6. Webcharity does not disclose the following limitation. Salls, however, does

disclose the following limitation:

o wherein the financial logistics comprises conducting a raffle over the computer

network. [See at least the abstract]

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the

charity donation system of Webcharity with the raffle of Salls because it provides "a popular

and exciting method for a person or a group of people to purchase tickets at a low price, for a

chance to win an item of greater value (Salls Paragraph 0006)."

Claim 12:

The combination of Webcharity and Official Notice, as shown, discloses all of the limitations

of claim 6. Webcharity also discloses the following limitations:

o wherein the financial logistics include authorizing an amount of sale on a credit card

of the buyer, [See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

o charging the credit card for the amount of sale, [See at least the page entitled How

WebCharity Item Donation Work]

o receiving the amount of sale, and [See at least the page entitled How WebCharity

Item Donation Work]

o transferring at least a portion of the amount of sale to the third party. [See at least the

page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Workl

Art Unit: 3684

Webcharity does not specifically disclose that the payment method is a credit card. Salls,

however, does disclose using a credit card to pay for purchased goods, in at least the

abstract. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to

combine the charity donation system of Webcharity with the raffle of Salls because it provides

"a popular and exciting method for a person or a group of people to purchase tickets at a low

price, for a chance to win an item of greater value (Salls Paragraph 0006)."

Claim 21:

Webcharity, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

o receiving information at an intermediary computer system from a first party and from

a plurality of buyers for providing an online marketplace between the first party and

the plurality of buyers; [See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation

Work]

o presenting a user interface to a computer of the first party for receiving

information from a the first party comprising a description of one or more goods

offered for raffle, the user interface providing the first party with available options for

directing proceeds of the raffle including options for receiving proceeds of the raffle

and for donating proceeds from the raffle to a third party; [See at least the page

entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work?

o receiving an option to donate proceeds from the raffle selected by the first

party via the user interface prior to conducting the raffle; [See at least the page

entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Art Unit: 3684

 donating the proceeds from the raffle tickets to the third party on behalf of the first party in accordance with the option selected by the first party. (See at least the page

entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Webcharity does not disclose a raffle however. Salls in at least the abstract and paragraphs

0006-0008 does disclose using a raffle to raise money for charity. Salls also discloses the

following limitations:

o receiving requests to purchase raffle tickets from a the plurality of buyers over a

computer network; [See at least Figures 10-13 and related text]

o receiving identification information from the plurality of buyers; [See at least Figures

10-13 and related text]

creating a record of the plurality of buyers weighted according to the number of raffle

tickets purchased by each buyer; [See at least Figures 10-13 and related text]

o selecting a winner at random from the record; [See at least Figures 10-13 and related

text]

notifying the winner; and [See at least Figures 10-13 and related text]

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the

charity donation system of Webcharity with the raffle of Salls because it provides "a popular

and exciting method for a person or a group of people to purchase tickets at a low price, for a

chance to win an item of greater value (Salls Paragraph 0006)." Webcharity also discloses

the seller choosing the percentage of the proceeds to be given to the particular charity on at

least the page entitled How Webcharity Item Donations work in step 2. While Webcharity is

directed mainly to donating a portion of the proceeds of a sale to charity, it does not explicitly

say that that the percentage of the proceeds that the seller enters can not be zero. Therefore,

Webcharity allows the option of entering zero percent to the user. However, the Examiner

Art Unit: 3684

takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the auction arts for the seller to maintain

all of the proceeds in an auction. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the

time of the invention to combine the auction and donation of Webcharity with the zero

donation because allows for a reminder to the seller that they have the option of backing out

of the donation and keeping all of the proceeds for themselves.

Claim 22:

The combination of Webcharity, Official Notice, and Salls, as shown, discloses all of the

limitations of claim 21. Salls also discloses the following limitations:

wherein the winner wins goods provided by the first party. [See at least Figures 10-13

and related text]

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the

charity donation system and raffle of Webcharity and Salls with the goods provided of Salls

because it provides "a popular and exciting method for a person or a group of people to

purchase tickets at a low price, for a chance to win an item of greater value (Salls Paragraph

0006)."

Claim 23:

The combination of Webcharity, Official Notice, and Salls, as shown, discloses all of the

limitations of claim 22. Webcharity also discloses the following limitations:

o wherein the goods comprise event tickets. [See at least the page entitled Buying: All

Categories: Tickets]

Art Unit: 3684

Claim 24:

The combination of Webcharity, Official Notice, and Salls, as shown, discloses all of the

limitations of claim 22. Webcharity also discloses the following limitations:

o receiving information from the first party, including the identity of the third party that

will receive the proceeds of the raffle; and [See at least the page entitled How

WebCharity Item Donation Work]

o presenting information to the plurality of buyers that proceeds from raffle tickets

purchased will be donated to the third party. [See at least the page entitled How

WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Webcharity does not disclose a raffle however. Salls in at least the abstract and paragraphs

0006-0008 does disclose using a raffle to raise money for charity. It would have been obvious

to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the charity donation system

and raffle of Webcharity and Salls with the raffle of Salls because it provides "a popular and

exciting method for a person or a group of people to purchase tickets at a low price, for a

chance to win an item of greater value (Salls Paragraph 0006)."

Claim 25:

The combination of Webcharity, Official Notice, and Salls, as shown, discloses all of the

limitations of claim 24. Webcharity also discloses the following limitations:

o wherein the third party is a charitable or nonprofit organization. [See at least the page

entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Art Unit: 3684

Claim 26:

The combination of Webcharity, Official Notice, and Salls, as shown, discloses all of the

limitations of claim 24. With regard to the limitation of wherein the third party comprises a

political action committee., Webcharity discloses the third party being a charity (See at least

the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work).

Webcharity does not expressly show:

wherein the third party comprises a political action

However these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are

not functionally involved in the steps recited. The information collection and storage steps

would be performed the same regardless of the data. Thus, this descriptive material will not

distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack,

703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32

USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the third party to be any type of

entity because the type of entity does not functionally relate to the steps in the method

claimed and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish

the claimed invention.

Claim 27:

The combination of Webcharity, Official Notice, and Salls, as shown, discloses all of the

limitations of claim 24. Webcharity also discloses the following limitations:

o wherein the third party is a fundraising entity. [See at least the page entitled How

WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Art Unit: 3684

Claim 28:

The combination of Webcharity, Official Notice, and Salls, as shown, discloses all of the

limitations of claim 25. Webcharity also discloses the following limitations:

o causing an acknowledgement for tax reporting purposes to be provided to the first

party. [See at least the page entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

Claim 32:

The combination of Webcharity, Official Notice, and Salls, as shown, discloses all of the

limitations of claim 21. Salls also discloses the following:

o wherein the record is created by sequentially assigning numbers to the plurality of

buyers based on the number of tickets purchased by each buyer, wherein a winner is

selected by generating a random number between one and the total number of

tickets sold, and wherein the winner is the buyer corresponding to the random

number. [See at least figures 1 and 10 and related text.]

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the

charity donation system and raffle of Webcharity and Salls with the winner generation of Salls

because it provides "a popular and exciting method for a person or a group of people to

purchase tickets at a low price, for a chance to win an item of greater value (Salls Paragraph

0006)."

Art Unit: 3684

Claim 33:

The combination of Webcharity, Official Notice, and Salls, as shown, discloses all of the

limitations of claim 21. Webcharity also discloses the following limitations:

o wherein the winner is notified over the computer network. [See at least the page

entitled How WebCharity Item Donation Work]

16. Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Webcharity in view

of Official Notice in Salls in view of Shakib et al (US 5,752,025), hereinafter Shakib.

Claim 29:

The combination of Webcharity, Official Notice, and Salls, as shown, discloses all of the

limitations of claim 21. Salls also discloses the creation of a ticket purchaser database in at

least Figures 1-9 and related text. The combination of Webcharity and Salls does not disclose

the record is created by entering the plurality of buyers into a spreadsheet. Shakib, however,

in at least Figures 1-2, 5, and related text does disclose categorizing received information into

spreadsheets. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention

to combine the charity donation system and raffle of Webcharity and Salls with the

spreadsheet of Shakib because it easily, quickly, and conveniently allows the individual

conducting the raffle to keep the record organized, accurate, and complete.

Claim 30:

The combination of Webcharity, Official Notice, Salls, and Shakib, as shown, discloses all of

the limitations of claim 29. Salls also discloses the creation of a ticket purchaser database in

at least Figures 1-9 and related text. The combination of Webcharity and Salls does not

Art Unit: 3684

disclose wherein each buyer occupies a number of rows in the spreadsheet corresponding to the number of tickets purchased by that buyer. Shakib, however, in at least Figures 1-2, 5, and related text does disclose categorizing received information into spreadsheets that are organized by like information into rows. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the charity donation system and raffle of Webcharity and Salls with the spreadsheet of Shakib because it easily, quickly, and conveniently allows

the individual conducting the raffle to keep the record organized, accurate, and complete.

Claim 31:

The combination of Webcharity, Official Notice, Salls, and Shakib, as shown, discloses all of the limitations of claim 30. Salls also discloses the following:

 wherein the step of selecting a winner comprises generating a random number between one and the total number of tickets sold, [See at least figures 1 and 10 and related text.]

 and wherein the winner is the buyer occupying the row in the spreadsheet corresponding to the random number. [See at least figures 1 and 10 and related text.]

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the charity donation system and raffle of Webcharity and Salls with the winner generation of Salls because it provides "a popular and exciting method for a person or a group of people to purchase tickets at a low price, for a chance to win an item of greater value (Salls Paragraph 0006)." Shakib also discloses matching items in a spreadsheet by corresponding values. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention that the winning number that is generated could be compared to the corresponding values in the spreadsheet. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the charity donation system and raffle of Webcharity and Salls with the spreadsheet of Shakib

Art Unit: 3684

because it easily, quickly, and conveniently allows the individual conducting the raffle to keep

the record organized, accurate, and complete.

17. Claims 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Webcharity in view

of Official Notice in view of Salls in view of Petras et al (US 2001/0047290), hereinafter Petras.

Claim 34:

The combination of Webcharity, Official Notice, and Salls, as shown, discloses all of the

limitations of claim 33. Salls, as shown above, also discloses notifying a winner of a raffle in

at least figure 13 and related text. The combination of Webcharity and Salls does not disclose

the winner is notified by automatically generating an email to the winner. Petras, however, in

at least paragraph 220 does disclose notification by email. It would have been obvious to one

skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the charity donation system and raffle

of Webcharity and Salls with the notification by email of Petras because it allows the

individual who is conducting the raffle to easily and quickly inform the winner of the prize.

Claim 35:

The combination of Webcharity, Official Notice, and Salls, as shown, discloses all of the

limitations of claim 33. With regard to the limitation of the winner is notified by automatically

generating an instant message to the winner. Salls, as shown above, also discloses notifying

a winner of a raffle in at least figure 13 and related text. The combination of Webcharity and

Salls does not disclose notifying the winner electronically. Petras, however, in at least

paragraph 220 does disclose notification by email. It would have been obvious to one skilled

in the art at the time of the invention to combine the charity donation system and raffle of

Webcharity and Salls with the notification by email of Petras because it allows the individual

who is conducting the raffle to easily and quickly inform the winner of the prize.

Art Unit: 3684

Salls and Petras do not expressly show:

o the winner is notified by automatically generating an instant message to the winner.

However these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are

not functionally involved in the steps recited. The steps would be performed the same

regardless of the type of notification. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F.2d 1381,

1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031

(Fed. Cir. 1994). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art

at the time the invention was made for the type of notification to be any type of notification

because the type of notification does not functionally relate to the steps in the method

claimed and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish

the claimed invention.

Conclusion

18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Horn – US 2002/0116214: Automated Fundraising Accounting System

 $_{\odot}~$ Bednar - US 2003/0230892: Method of Charitable Fund Raising Utilizing Pseudo

Securities

Art Unit: 3684

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning

this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to

Stephanie M. Ziegle whose telephone number is 571.272.4417. The Examiner can normally be

reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30am-3:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, KAMBIZ ABDI can be reached at 571.272.6702.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov >. Should you have

questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866.217.9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to 571-273-8300.

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the United States Patent and

Trademark Office Customer Service Window:

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

/Stephanie Ziegle/ Examiner, Art Unit 3684 29 October 2009

/Susanna M. Diaz/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3684